



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/591,662   | 09/05/2006  | Karl Ott             | 295335US0PCT        | 3137             |
| 22850  | 7590        | 05/23/2008           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.<br>1940 DUKE STREET<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |             |                      | FRANK, NOAH S       |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 1796                |                  |
|  |             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 05/23/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

|                                 |                        |                     |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b><i>Interview Summary</i></b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                                 | 10/591,662             | OTT ET AL.          |  |
|                                 | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                                 | NOAH FRANK             | 1796                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) NOAH FRANK. (3) Jay Rowe.  
 (2) Rabon Sergent. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 15-25.

Identification of prior art discussed: all applied.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney argued combination of urethane prepolymer versus aqueous polyurethane dispersion as well as improved aqueous dispersion due to presence of lactone in the dispersion process.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rabon Sergent/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.